What is VOIP?

- VOIP is a next-generation protocol that delivers voice via IP Internet Protocol (IP) instead of Time Division Multiplexing (TDM). The public switched network telephone network (PSTN) is a hybrid, using both.
- Applications include
 - computer-to-computer
 - computer-to-phone
 - phone-to-computer
 - phone-to-phone

How does VOIP work?

- The VOIP carrier supplies a telephone number and a network translator device.
- The customer speaks into a telephone or computer microphone.
- The customer's voice is encoded by the network translator device into packets and transmitted over the broadband connection.

How do 'packets' fit in?

- The voice packets are routed within the customer's intranet (LAN or WAN) or to the PSTN, or
- Voice packets are sent through the Internet to the VOIP carrier's facilities and transmitted to the PSTN.

What is packet switched protocol conversion?

- The voice signal is broken down into milliseconds of binary data, each consisting of three segments:
 - Header with control information,
 - Payload containing the actual transmitted information, and
 - Trailer signaling the end of the packet.
- Packet travel independently in different routes across the Internet and are reassembled into a message at the end point.
- Transmission quality and reliability are degraded if packets are lost or arrive out of sequence.
- Service quality is on par with cellular telephone service.

Impact of IP Telephony on Universal Service Programs Looking Ahead Five Years to 2008

- Total impact on programs projected to be \$183 to \$407 million by 2008.
- Providers such as Vonage, 8X8, and Telverse entering the residential market now impact the public purpose programs by about \$9 million in 2008. Continuing conversions of business lines by ILECs impact the programs then by about \$174 million.
- Cable providers and ILECS entering the residential market in 2005, a
 date described as more likely by cable providers and ILECs, impact the
 funds by about \$198 million in 2008. Continuing conversions of
 business lines by ILECs impact the programs then by about \$174
 million.
- Cable providers and ILECS entering the residential market in 2004, a
 date described as more likely by financial analysts, impact the funds by
 about \$216 million in 2008. Continuing conversions of business lines by
 ILECs impact the programs then by about \$174 million.
- Basic Assumptions
 - No change in the number of effective residential or business access lines
 - Based on industry and financial community sources, penetration rates are 10% for cable, 10% for ILEC business, and 5% for ILEC residential.

Impact of IP Telephony on Universal Service Programs

Projected Dollar Impact by Fund in 2008 (\$Million)

	Subsidy Program		03-04 ropriatio	2008 VOIP Impact		
		Total	\$939	\$183 to \$407		
•	California High Cost Fund A (smal California High Cost Fund B (large Universal Lifeline Fund (Lifeline dis Deaf & Disabled	LECs)	\$ 62 \$522 \$246	\$12 to \$27 \$102 to \$226 \$48 to \$107		
•	Telecommunications Fund (DDTI	,	\$ 69 \$ 40 libraries	\$13 to \$30 \$ 8 to \$17		

Note: The lower estimate of the impact occurs if providers are limited to Vonage capturing residential customers and ILECs converting business customers. The larger impact occurs if cable providers and ILECs enter the residential market as well.

What the Market Leaders are Doing Now

- RBOCs, AT&T, MCI and Global Crossing routinely use IP packet switching in long-haul networks - it is inherently more economic than circuit switching. So do wireless carriers.
- RBOCs SBC, Bellsouth began actively in 2002 to migrate business PBX customers under intrastate tariffs to IP telephony services. Qwest announced plans to offer VOIP in Minnesota.
- Regional carriers Frontier and Citizens describe

 "an enormous economic incentive to follow the same path...would offer...customers CPE that makes a voice-to-IP conversion and replace...circuit switches with IP-based packet switches as quickly as possible... would not charge sales taxes or 911 fees and would no longer devote the resources needed to comply with CALEA...would stop contributing to the Universal Service Fund... Every other telecommunications carrier would have no reasonable economic choice but to do the same. Source: Comments of the Frontier and Citizens Telephone Companies, In the Matter of Vonage Holdings Corporation WC Docket No. 03-211 Petition for Declaratory Ruling Concerning an Order of the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission, pp. 11-12
- AT&T is testing VOIP with customers now through February, 2004. Source: AT&T website.
- MCI moves 10% of calls over its IP backbone now, expects 25% by the end of 2003 and 100% by the end of 2004.

Source: Interview with Vinton Cerf ('father of internet'), MCI VP, CNET News.com, September 10, 2003.

Cable IP Telephony

- Cablevision this Tuesday began offering unlimited local, regional and long distance VOIP service with five custom-calling features system-wide, including full-function E911, for \$34.95 per month. It serves over one million "Optimum Voice" customers, compared to 507,000 at the end of 2001. Three-fourths of Cablevision's broadband customers and over 23% of all potential customers have signed up. It has 4.4 million customers in Connecticut, New Jersey, Long Island, Westchester and New York City. source: Cablevision press release, November 11, 2003
- Comcast, Cox and Time Warner more cautiously are pilot testing VOIP. Analysts say these companies will begin to offer retail VOIP services in 2004 to 2005.
- Industry experts say in 2 to 3 years after a cable company begins offering VOIP, 20% to 30% of its customers will have made the switch. Source: CLEC technical expert in meeting with TD staff, October 9, 2003.

Business is Migrating to IP telephony

- IBM is converting and Cisco has converted their enterprise systems to IP telephony.
- Avaya's print ads offer to convert an enterprise's legacy telephone system to IP while retaining 85% of its embedded investment. Source: Wall Street Journal, October 30, 2003, p. A11.
 - -Avaya was spun off from Lucent in 2000. It competes with Cisco.
- Nemertes Research recently (2003) surveyed 42 large companies on IP telephony use
 - -62% use it now
 - -19% are running trials of it
 - -The rest plan to implement it in 1-2 years
 - Source: Nemertes Research, (in) "VOIP by the Numbers", Network World Fusion (nwfusion.com), November 3, 2003.
- 10 percent of business systems have been replaced with a form of VOIP. Source: Washington Post, "Is it Phone or Internet...?", October 26, 2003.
- VOIP equipment accounted for 46% of US enterprise telephone systems sales in 2002, up from 24% in 2001.

Source: In-Stat/MDR, from "Has VOIP's Time Arrived...?" The Globe and Mail, May 21, 2003, on net2phone.com website.

Replies to the CPUC's Letter Assert

- Jurisdiction is not CPUC, because
 - Service is information, not telecommunications
 - Protocol conversion (computer process/packetizing) changes or enhances the communication
 - Voice functionality is only one of many service elements in package
- Other observations
 - VOIP is an infant industry, so it should not be regulated
 - Requested extensions of time to reply
 - Offered to work with Commission and agency staff
 - CPUC should open a public inquiry, workshop, rulemaking, evidentiary hearing (after jurisdiction is established)

IP Telephony does not do the following

Contribute to

- California High Cost Fund A (small LEC subsidies)
- California High Cost Fund B (large LEC subsidies)
- Universal Lifeline Fund (Lifeline household discount)
- Deaf & Disabled Telecommunications Fund
- California Teleconnect Fund (schools, community based organizations, libraries)
- Provide E911 service
- Pay access charges
- Provide access to traffic for law enforcement
- Obtain telephone numbers under the North American Numbering Plan

Million Californ Cable, Other)	ia Broadb	and Cust	omers (In	cludes, D	SL,	Documentation, Comments,		
base year								
2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% growth rate	
3.0	3.8	4.7	5.9	7.4	9.3	11.6	25	Base year level from FCC report on CA broadband subscribers
3.0	4.6	6.8	10.2	15.4	23.0	34.6	50	Growth rates developed from CPUC 3rd Report on Broadband (in draft)
								49% DSL, 39% Cable, 12% other, CPUC 3rd report on broadband
								yearly customer growth
3.0	3.8	4.6	5.4	6.2	7.0	7.8	800,000	Observed growth rates. Source: CPUC 3rd Report on Broadband (in draft)
3.0	4.0	5.0	6.0	7.0	8.0	9.0	1,000,000	SBC describing its own capacity. Bus. Comm. Review, June, 2000, pp. 1416.
								SBC install rate does not include "G.Lite", or customer-installed systems.
Scenario 1: No Providers								
California VOIF Industry growt		ers with N	o Cable o	r DSL Co	nversion ((Broadbaı	nd and	
base year	-							
	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	% growth rate	
	30,000	37,500	46,875	58,594	73,242	91,553	25	Base year: see Estimation of California VOIP Users, below
	30,000	45,000	67,500	101,250	151,875	227,813	50	VOIP uptake directly proportional to broadband growth; or, 1 percent of broadband users are VOIP users
	30,000	60,000	120,000	240,000	480,000	960,000	100	Growth rate verbal estimate from industry source November 6, 2003.
VOIP % penetration at growth rate								
25	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	0.4%	0.5%	0.6%		
50	0.2%	0.3%	0.5%	0.7%	1.0%	1.6%		
100	0.2%	0.4%	0.8%	1.6%	3.3%	6.6%		

Scenario 2: Re	esidential	Market Er	ntry by Cal	ole Provid	lers and			
Conversion of (millions)	California	Cable cu	stomers to	VOIP				
	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008		Base year: total cable homes California. CA Cable & Telecom Assn, 2003 Western Show Announces, 4/29/03.
	7.1	0.46	1.0	1.6	2.3	3.1	10	Conversion Rate: I65 Conversion applied to smallest of projected number of broadband customers. Rate compares with long distance capture rate by SBC in California market.
Cable % VOIP penetration		3%	7%	11%	16%	21%		
Conversion of	California	Large II I	EC Basida	ntial Lino				
Customers to \			-o veside	iiliai Liile				
switched acces		,						
2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008		% conversion rate to VOIP
15.2	14.6	13.4	12.3	11.1	9.8	8.6	5	Base year: CPUC data request for 3rd Report on Broadband; ILEC lose 4% access lines in 2003
ILEC Residenti (millions)	al VOIP Li	nes						ILECs convert DSL and DSL-capable lines.
-,		0.71	1.3	1.9	2.4	2.9		Conversion rate: total conversion rate to internet telephony is 7.5 percent per year, NJ.com (Star-Ledger) Making the call, November 2, 2003, in a report from Atlantic-ACM, a Boston-based consultant. ILEC conversion rate is arithmetically one-half cable conversion rate.
ILEC % VOIP p	enetration	5%	9%	13%	17%	20%		
Residential VOIP% penetration		8%	16%	24%	33%	41%		
total effective residential lines	14.6	14.6	14.6	14.6	14.6	14.6		assumes no change in the number of residential access lines

Scenario 3: Re ILECs in 2005	sidential	Market Er	ntry by Cal	ble Provid	ders and							
Conversion of (millions)	California	Cable cu	stomers to	VOIP	'							
	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008		Base year: total cable homes California. CA Cable & Telecom Assn, 2003 Western Show Announces, 4/29/03.				
	7.1	7.1	0.54	1.2	1.9	2.7	10	Conversion Rate: industry source, meeting with TD staff, October 9, 2003. Conversion applied to smallest of projected number of broadband customers. Rate compares with long distance capture rate by SBC in California market.				
% VOIP penetra	ation		4%	8%	13%	18%						
Conversion of Customers to \			EC Reside	ntial Line	!							
switched acces	s lines	-										
2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008		% conversion rate to VOIP				
15.2	14.6	14.6	13.3	12.1	10.8	9.5	5	Base year: CPUC data request for 3rd Report on Broadband; ILEC lose 4% access lines in 2003				
ILEC Residenti (millions)	al VOIP L	ines						ILECs convert DSL and DSL-capable lines.				
			0.7	1.3	1.9	2.5		Conversion rate: total conversion rate to internet telephony is 7.5 percent per year, NJ.com (Star-Ledger) Making the call, November 2, 2003, in a report from Atlantic-ACM, a Boston-based consultant. ILEC conversion rate is arithmetically one-half cable conversion rate.				
ILEC % VOIP po	enetration		5%	9%	13%	17%						
Residential VO penetration	IP%		9%	17%	26%	35%						
total effective residential lines	14.6	14.6	14.6	14.6	14.6	14.6		assumes no change in the number of residential access lines				

	VOIP (millio			1				
switched acce	ss lines							
2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008		% conversion rate to VOIP
9.1	8.7	7.9	7.1	6.4	5.7	5.2	10	Base year for VOIP business use: 10 percent of business systems have been replaced with a form of VOIP. Washington Post, Is it Phone or Internet, October 26, 2003. 10 percent of all US phone calls are VOIP. Source: CNET, Internet Phone Providers, October 8, 2003
ILEC Business (millions)	VOIP Lines	5	,	1	<u> </u>			Conversion rate: same as cable rate
•	0.91	1.8	2.6	3.3	3.9	4.5		
total business effective lines	9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6	9.6		
Business VOIF penetration	9%							
of business market	9%	18%	27%	34%	41%	47%		
of business and residential market	4%	7%	11%	14%	16%	18.5%		
total all effective lines	24.2	24.2	24.2	24.2	24.2	24.2		Includes base level of business VOIP lines, but no change in the number of 'effective' business access lines
	% VOIP penetration	<u> </u>						
	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	residential	
VOIP Provider Entry	4%	8%	11%	14%	17%	19%	0.94%	residential pct estimated using penetration middle value 1.6% for 2008
Cable & ILEC Entry 2005	4%	8%	16%	24%	32%	40%	21%	
Cable & ILEC Entry 2004	4%	12%	20%	28%	36%	43%	23%	

Conversion rates:													
Cable/Resident ial	10	percent											
ILEC/Residenti al	5.0	percent											
ILEC/Business	10	percent											
Assumption	No change access line		imber of e	ffective res	sidential o	r business							
Estimation of O	California '	VOIP											
	VOIP use May 2003		America.	Source: I	 Net2Phon	e website,							
19.8	million bro	adband u	sers in US	S. Source:	Broadban	d Internet	Access in O	ECD Countri	ies:A Compa	rative Analys	is, October	2003, Pacific.	4.
	million bro Source: d	adband u							•	•			
23.5	total broad America	dband use	ers North										
	ratio of US users			broadand									
252,766	US voip u	sers; <i>use</i>	250,000										
				urce: CNE	T, Califor	nia to Reg	ulate VOIP I	Providers, Se	eptember 30,	2003			
1.3%	percent of percent	broadbar	nd users w	ith VOIP;	use 1								
30,350	VOIP users in California. <i>Use 30,000 in</i> 2003												
L			l		1	<u> </u>	l	L					

Penetration of VOIP by Date

		Cumula	tive % V	OIP per	netration	
	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
VOIP Provider Entry	4%	8%	11%	14%	17%	19%
Cable & ILEC Entry 2005	4%	8%	16%	24%	32%	40%
Cable & ILEC Entry 2004	4%	12%	20%	28%	36%	43%
Conversion rates:						
Cable/Residential	10	percent				
ILEC/Residential	5	percent				
ILEC/Business	10	percent				

No change in the number of effective residential or business access lines

Assumption

STATE OF CALIFORNIA GRAY DAVIS. Governor

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



September 22, 2003

Mr. Jeffrey Citron, CEO Vonage Holdings Corporation 2147 Route 27 Edison, NJ 08817

Dear Mr. Citron:

Based on our monitoring of the telecommunications market and actions being taken by other state regulatory commissions, the Telecommunications Division concludes that your company, Vonage, is offering intrastate telecommunications service for profit in California without having received formal certification from this Commission to provide such service.

The provision and regulation of local telephone service is under the jurisdiction of the California Public Utilities Commission. Section 234 of the California Public Utilities Code defines a telephone corporation as every corporation or person who owns, controls, or manages a telephone line for profit. Section 233 defines a telephone line as any asset used to facilitate telephone communication. Section 216 states that any telephone corporation that performs compensated service to any portion of the California public is a public utility. Section 1001 requires that a telephone corporation must first be certificated by the Commission to place a telephone line into service.

Please file an application with the Commission for authority to conduct business as a telecommunications utility no later than October 22, 2003. You will find details on how to accomplish this on the Commission's website at

http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/static/industry/telco/information+for+providing+service/index.htm

You may contact Richard Fish at 415-703-1923 for further information.

Yours truly,

John M. Leutza, Director Telecommunications Division

Cc: William Ahern, Executive Director Angela Minkin, Chief Administrative Law Judge Randolph R. Wu, Chief Counsel

Note added for distribution:

This letter was also sent to

- 1. SBC IP Communications, Inc.
- 2. Telverse Communications
- 3. Net2phone
- 4. 8X8, Inc.
- 5. VoicePulse